

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/830,118	WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	

**All Participants:**

(1) Devin Hanan.

(2) Stephen Catlin.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2006
**Time:** 10 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

102 rejection

**Claims discussed:**

claims 1, 3 and 25

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Thorp (U.S. Patent 2,642,263)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Adding allowable subject matter into independent claims. See examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Devin Hanan  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)